

C-Lambda-Compact Spaces

R.Vennila¹, V.Parimala² and S.Subasini³

¹Department of Mathematics, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Erode-638060, Tamilnadu, India, Email: vennilamaths@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishna College of Technology, Coimbatore-641042, Tamilnadu, India, Email: parimalavp@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, Pollachi Institute of Engineering and Technology, Pollachi-642205, Tamilnadu, India, Email: subasinimaths@gmail.com

Abstract: *Viglino[13], introduced the family of C-compact spaces, showing that every continuous function from a C-compact spaces into a Hausdorff spaces is a closed function and that this class of spaces properly contains the class of compact spaces. In this present paper we study these spaces by considering λ -open sets introduced by Arenas et.al [1]. We also characterize their fundamental properties.*

Keywords: λ -open, λ -continuous, λ -adherent point, λ -regular, filter base λ -compact, C- λ -compact.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is well known that the image of a compact space under a continuous function into a Hausdorff space is closed. If we denote by P the property that every continuous function from a topological space into a Hausdorff space is closed then the problem is whether underlying topological space having the property P is always compact. Viglino [14] resolved this problem in 1969 in the negative and substantiated his argument with an example. He simultaneously introduced a new class of topological space for which property P held. He called these spaces as C-compact. Since then, a tremendous number of papers such as Viglino[14], Sakai[13], Herringaton et.al.[8], Viglino[15], Goss & Viglino[7] and Kim[9] have appeared on C-compact spaces. Maki [3] introduced the notion of Λ -sets in topological spaces. A Λ -set is a set A which is equal to its kernel, that is, to the intersection of all open super sets of A. Arenas et.al. [1] introduced and investigated the notion of λ -closed sets by involving Λ -sets and closed sets. C.Duraisamy et.al [5] discussed some of the properties of λ -continuous functions.

Throughout this paper X and Y represents non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise stated. For any subset A of X , $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ respectively represents the closure and interior of A . Now we recall some definitions and results, which we have used in the sequel. In this paper, we venture to generalize C -compact spaces by using λ -open set and shall term them as C - λ -compact spaces.

Definition 1.1: Viglino[14] A topological space X is called C -compact if for each closed subset $A \subset X$ and for each open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of A , there exists a finite sub collection

$$\{U_{\lambda_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ of } \mathcal{U} \text{ such that } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl(U_{\lambda_i}).$$

Definition 1.2: Njastad[12] A subset A of a topological space X is called α -open if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$. The complement of an α -open set is called an α -closed. Equivalently, a set F is α -closed in X if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(F))) \subseteq F$. The family of all α -open (respectively α -closed) sets in X is denoted by $\alpha O(X)$ (respectively $\alpha C(X)$).

Remark 1.3: Mashour et.al.[11] Continuity implies α -continuity but not conversely.

Remark 1.4: Mashour et.al.[11] Every open mapping (closed mapping) is α -open (α -closed) but the converse is not true.

2. C - λ -COMPACT SPACES

Definition 2.1: A topological space X is said to be C - λ -compact if for each closed subset $A \subset X$ and for each λ -open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of A , there exists a finite sub collection

$$\{U_{\lambda_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ of } \mathcal{U} \text{ such that, } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i}).$$

Lemma 2.2: A topological space X is C - λ -compact if and only if for each closed subset $A \subset X$ and for each λ -regular open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of A , there exists a finite sub collection

$$\{U_{\lambda_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ of } \mathcal{U} \text{ such that, } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n Cl_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i}).$$

Proof: Let X be C - λ -compact and let $\{U_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be any cover of A by λ -open sets. Then $\mathcal{V} = \{\text{Int}_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(U_\lambda))\}$ is a λ -regular open cover of A and so there exists a finite sub collection

$\{\text{Int}_\lambda(\text{Cl}_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i})) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of \mathcal{V} such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Cl}_\lambda\{\text{Int}_\lambda(\text{Cl}_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i}))\}$. But for each i , we have

$$\text{Cl}_\lambda\{\text{Int}_\lambda(\text{Cl}_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i}))\} = \text{Cl}_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i})$$

Therefore, $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Cl}_\lambda(U_{\lambda_i})$ implying that X is C - λ -compact.

Theorem 2.3: A λ -continuous image of a C - λ -compact space is C - λ -compact.

Proof: Let A be a closed subset of Y and let \mathcal{V} be an λ -open cover of A . By λ -continuity of f , $f^{-1}(A)$ is an λ -closed subset of X and is such that $P = \{f^{-1}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is a cover of $f^{-1}(A)$ by λ -open sets. By C - λ -compactness of X , there exists finite collection say;

$\{P_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of P such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{f^{-1}(V_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Now by λ -continuity of f ,

$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{V_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Thus Y is a C - λ -compact space.

Definition 2.4: Let X be a topological space and A be a subset of X then an element $x \in X$ is called λ -adherent point of A if every λ -open set G containing x contains at least one point of A , that is, $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.5: Let X be a non-empty set. A non-empty collection \mathcal{B} of non-empty subset of X is called a basis for some filter on X if

- (i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$
- (ii) If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ then there exist a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset B_1 \cap B_2$.

Definition 2.6: Let \mathcal{B} be a filter base on a set X then the filter \mathcal{F} is said to be generated by \mathcal{B} if $\mathcal{F} = \{A : A \supset B, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$

Definition 2.7: A filter base \mathcal{F} is said to be λ -adherent convergent if every neighborhood of the λ -adherent set of \mathcal{F} contains an element of \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 2.8: For any λ -Hausdorff space X . The following properties are equivalent.

- (i) X is C - λ -compact.

(ii) For each closed subset $A \subset X$ and for each family f of closed set of X with $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in f\} = \emptyset$ there exists finite collection say; $\{F_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of f with

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{(int_{\lambda} F_i) \cap A : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \emptyset.$$

(iii) If A is a closed subset of X and f is an open filter base on X whose element have non-empty with A , then f has a λ -adherent point in X .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let A be a closed subset of a C - λ -compact space X and f be a family of

closed subset of X with $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in f\} = \emptyset \Rightarrow A \subset X \sim \bigcap \{F : F \in f\}$ or

$A \subset \bigcup \{X \sim F : F \in f\}$. Therefore $\{X \sim F : F \in f\}$ is an open cover of A . Since every open set is a λ -open set, therefore $\mathcal{G} = \{X \sim F : F \in f\}$ is a λ --open cover of A and so by C - λ -compactness of X , there exists a finite subfamily say; $\{X \sim F_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } F_i \in f\}$

of \mathcal{G} such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_{\lambda}(X \sim F_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \Rightarrow A \subset X \sim \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{(Int_{\lambda}(F_i)) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Therefore

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{(int_{\lambda} F_i) \cap A : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \emptyset.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that there exist a closed set A and let \mathcal{G} be an open filter base having

non-empty trace with A such that \mathcal{G} has no λ -adherent point. Now $f = \{Cl_{\lambda}(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$

is a family of closed set such that $\bigcap \{Cl_{\lambda}(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\} \cap A = \emptyset$. But $Cl_{\lambda}(G)$ is a superset of

G so $Cl_{\lambda}(G) \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore $\bigcap \{(Cl_{\lambda}(G)) \cap A : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a trace of A and by hypothesis

\mathcal{G} has no λ -adherent point, therefore $\bigcap \{(Cl_{\lambda}(G)) \cap A : G \in \mathcal{G}\} = \emptyset$] so there is a finite

subfamily of f , say $\{F_i = Cl_{\lambda}(G_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ with $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{(int_{\lambda} F_i) \cap A : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \emptyset$ or $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n$

$\{X \sim int_{\lambda}(Cl_{\lambda}(G_i)) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Therefore $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{G_i \cap A : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{G} is a filter base,

therefore there must exist a $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $G \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{G_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. So $G \cap A = \emptyset$, a

contradiction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that X is not C - λ -compact then there is a closed subset A and a covering \mathcal{U} of A consisting of λ -open subset of X such that for any finite subfamily $\{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of \mathcal{U} ,

$A \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_{\lambda}(U_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Now $\mathcal{G} = \left[X \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_{\lambda}(U_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } U_i \in \mathcal{U}\} \right]$ is

an open filter base having non-empty trace with A , so by (iii) there is an λ -adherent point of G in A , let it be x . Thus $x \in \text{Cl}_\lambda \left[X \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ \text{Cl}_\lambda(U_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \right]$ say, for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ or $x \in \left[X \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \right]$ Therefore, \mathcal{U} is not a covering of A , a contradiction.

Theorem 2.9: A λ -Hausdorff space X is C - λ -compact if and only if every open filter base \mathcal{F} is λ -adherent convergent.

Proof: Let \mathcal{F} be an open filter base of the C - λ -compact space X . Let A be λ -adherent set of \mathcal{F} . Let G be an open-neighborhood of A . Since A is the λ -adherent set of \mathcal{F} , we have $A = \bigcap \{ \text{Cl}_\lambda(F) : F \in \mathcal{F} \}$. Since G is an open-neighborhood of A , we have $A \subset G$ and $X \sim G$ is closed. Clearly $\{ X \sim \text{Cl}_\lambda(F) : F \in \mathcal{F} \}$ is an λ -open cover of $X \sim G$ and so $X \sim G \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ \text{Cl}_\lambda(X \sim \text{Cl}_\lambda F_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \}$. This implies $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{ \text{Cl}_\lambda(X \sim \text{Cl}_\lambda F_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \subset G$. Further $X \sim \text{Cl}_\lambda F_i \subset X \sim F_i$ or $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{ F_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{ \text{Cl}_\lambda(X \sim \text{Cl}_\lambda F_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n \}$. Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{ F_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \subset G$, that is, open-neighborhood G of A contains a point of \mathcal{F} .

Conversely, let X be a non C - λ -compact space and let A be any closed subset of X . Choose an λ -open cover \mathcal{U} of A such that A is not contained in the λ -closure of any finite union of elements in \mathcal{U} . Without loss of generality we may consider \mathcal{U} to be closed under finite unions. Obviously, then $\mathcal{F} = \{ X \sim \text{Cl}_\lambda G : G \in \mathcal{U} \}$ an open filter base in X . Let x be an λ -adherent point of \mathcal{F} . This clearly implies that $x \notin A$. So the λ -adherent set of the open filter base \mathcal{F} is contained in $X \sim A$, but no element of \mathcal{F} is contained in $X \sim A$.

Theorem 2.10: A λ -Hausdorff space X is C - λ -compact if and only if for each closed subset C of X and λ open cover \mathcal{C} of $X \sim C$ and a open-neighborhood U of C , there exists a finite collection $\{ G_i \in \mathcal{C} : 1 \leq i \leq n \}$ such that $X = U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ \text{Cl}_\lambda G_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \}$.

Proof: Since U is an open-neighborhood of C , therefore $C \subset U \subset \text{Cl}(C)$, or $X \sim U \subset X \sim C$ where $X \sim U$ is a λ -closed set. Further, as \mathcal{C} is a λ -open cover of $X \sim C$. Therefore \mathcal{C} is a λ -

open cover of the α -closed set $X \sim U$ too. Now by C - λ -compactness of X , there exists a finite subfamily $\{G_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of C such that $X \sim U \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda G_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ which implies

$$X = U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda G_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Conversely; Let A be a closed subset of X , G be an λ -open cover of A , Therefore $A \subset \bigcup \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}\} = H$ (say), obviously H is λ -open, therefore $X \sim H = C$ (say), is λ -closed and $C \subset X \sim A$. Since $X \sim A$ is λ -open. Therefore we can take $X \sim A = U$ is an open neighborhood of C , thus by the given statement $X = U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda G_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Hence X is

C - λ -compact.

Theorem 2.11: Every λ -continuous function from a C - λ -compact space to a λ -Hausdorff space is closed.

Proof: Let f be λ -continuous function from a C - λ -compact space X to a λ -Hausdorff space Y . Let C be a closed set in X and let $P \notin f(C)$. Now for every $x \in f(C)$, $x \neq p$ and hence choose a open-neighborhood N_x such that $P \notin Cl_\lambda(N_x)$, obviously $\{f^{-1}(N_x) : x \in f(C)\}$ is a λ -open cover of C . Let $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be such that, $C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda f^{-1}(N_{x_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ because X is C - λ -compact space. Thus by the λ -continuity of f , $Y \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda(N_{x_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ λ -neighborhood of p disjoint from Y . Hence C is closed, so λ -continuous function f from C - λ -compact space X to a λ -Hausdorff space Y is closed.

Definition 2.12: A Hausdorff space X is said to be a functionally compact space if for every open filter base \mathcal{U} in X , the intersection A of the elements of \mathcal{U} is equal to the intersection of the closure of the elements of \mathcal{U} , then \mathcal{U} is a base for the neighbourhood of A .

Theorem 2.13: Every C - λ -compact space is functionally compact.

Proof: Let \mathcal{U} be an λ -open filter base in the C - λ -compact space X . Let $A = \bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \bigcap \{Cl_\lambda U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Let G be an λ -open set containing A . Then $\bigcap \{Cl_\lambda U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a subset of G , that is, $\bigcap \{X \sim Cl_\lambda(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Now $X \sim G$ is a λ -closed subset of the C - λ -compact space X . Therefore the λ -open cover $\{X \sim Cl_\lambda(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ of $X \sim G$ has a finite

subfamily, say $(X \sim Cl_\lambda(U_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $X \sim G \subset \cup(Cl_\lambda(X \sim Cl_\lambda(U_i)) : 1 \leq i \leq n) \subset (X \sim Cl_\lambda(U_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n)$, that is, $\cap\{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset G$. Since \mathcal{U} is a filter base there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \subset \cap\{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and hence $U \subset G$ and the space X is functionally compact.

Theorem 2.14: A λ -Hausdorff space X is functionally compact if and only if for every λ -regular closed subset C of X and λ -open cover \mathcal{B} of $X \sim C$ and a open-neighborhood U of C , there exists a finite collection $\{B_{x_i} \in \mathcal{B} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that

$$X = U \cup \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda(B_{x_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \right].$$

Proof: For each $x \in X \sim C$, since C is λ -regular closed, there exists an λ -open set A_x such that $Cl_\lambda A_x \subset X \sim C$. Also there exists a $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_x$. Let $G_x = A_x \cap B_x$. Then G_x is an λ -open set such that $x \in G_x$, $Cl_\lambda G_x \subset X \sim C$ and there exists a $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $G_x \subset B_x$. Also $X \sim C = \cup\{G_x : x \in X \sim C\} = \cup\{Int_\lambda Cl_\lambda G_x : x \in X \sim C\} = \cup\{Cl_\lambda G_x : x \in X \sim C\}$. Suppose, if possible, that no finite collection of G is such that the λ -closure of its members cover $X \sim U$. Now for any finite collection $\{G_{x_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of G , $\cap\{X \sim Cl_\lambda G_{x_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{V} be the family of the all finite intersection of the family $\{X \sim Cl_\lambda G_x : x \in X \sim C\}$. Now \mathcal{V} is an λ -open filter base such that $\cap\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} = \cap\{X \sim Cl_\lambda G_x : x \in X \sim C\} = X \sim \cap\{Cl_\lambda G_x : x \in X \sim C\} = C$ and $\cap\{Cl_\lambda V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} = \cap\{Cl_\lambda(X \sim Cl_\lambda G_x) : x \in X \sim C\} = \cap\{(X \sim Int_\lambda Cl_\lambda G_x) : x \in X \sim C\} = X \sim \cup\{(Int_\lambda Cl_\lambda G_x) : x \in X \sim C\} = C$. But there exists no $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $C \subset U \subset V$ and this is a contradiction to the fact that X is functionally compact. Hence there exists a finite collection $\{G_{x_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and hence $\{B_{x_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that

$$X = U \cup \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{Cl_\lambda(B_{x_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \right].$$

Conversely; Let \mathcal{U} be an λ -open filter base such that $A = \cap\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \cap\{Cl_\lambda U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Let G be an λ -open set containing A . Now for each $x \in X \sim A$, there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \notin Cl_\lambda(U)$. Now $x \in X \sim Cl_\lambda(U)$ and $Cl_\lambda(X \sim Cl_\lambda(U)) \cap A = \emptyset$, because $A \subset U$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, A is a λ -regular closed set. $\{X \sim Cl_\lambda(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an λ -open

cover of $X \sim A$. Therefore there exists a finite collection $\{X \sim Cl_\lambda(U_i): 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of $\{X \sim Cl_\lambda(U): U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ such that $X = G \cup \left[\bigcup \{Cl_\lambda(X \sim Cl_\lambda(U_i)): 1 \leq i \leq n\} \right]$. Thus $X \sim G \subset \bigcup \{Cl_\lambda(X \sim Cl_\lambda(U_i)): 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ that is, $\bigcup \{Int_\lambda Cl_\lambda(U_i): 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset G$ that is, $\bigcup \{U_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset G$. Since \mathcal{U} is an λ -open filter base, there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \subset \{U_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and hence $U \subset G$. Thus X is functionally compact.

References

- [1] D. Andrijevic: *Some properties of the topology of α -sets*, *Math. Vesnik*, 36, 1-10, 1984.
- [2] N. Biswas: *On some mapping in topological spaces*, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 61, 127-135, 1969.
- [3] M. Caldas, D. N. Georgiou and S. Jafari: *Characterization of low separation axioms via α -open sets and α -closure operator*, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Math.*, 21, 1-14, 2003.
- [4] R. Devi, K. Balachandran and H. Maki: *Generalized α -closed maps and α -generalized closed maps*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29(1), 37-49, 1998.
- [5] C. Duraisamy and R. Vennila: *On λ -continuous functions*, *European journal of scientific research*, 59(2), 258-263, 2011.
- [6] G. Goss and G. Viglino: *Some topological properties weaker than compactness*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 35, 635-638, 1970.
- [7] G. Goss and G. Viglino: *C-compact space and functionally compact space*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 37, 677-681, 1971.
- [8] Larry L. Herringaton and P. E. Long: *Characterizations of C-compact spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52, 417-426, 1975.
- [9] H. Kim: *Notes on C-compact spaces and functionally compact spaces*, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 10, 75-80, 1970.
- [10] S. N. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur: *On α -compact spaces*, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 13, 341-347, 1985.
- [11] A. S. Mashour, I. A. Hasanein and S. N. EL-Deeb: *α -continuous and α -open mappings*, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 41, 213-218, 1983.
- [12] O. Njastad: *On some classes of nearly open sets*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 15(3), 961-970, 1965.

[13] *S. Sakai: A note on compact spaces, Proc. Japan Acad., 46, 917- 920, 1970.*

[14] *G. Viglino: C-compact spaces, Duke Math. J., 36, 761-764, 1969.*

[15] *G. Viglino: Semi normal and C-compact spaces, Duke Math. J., 38, 57-61, 1971.*